CAMPUS ADMINISTRATIVE POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Policy Title: Named Transition Guidelines
Policy Number: 3030  Functional Area: General Administration

Date Submitted: August 24, 2020
Proposed Action: Rescind

Brief Description: This policy provided guidelines to all named spaces, places, plaques, and objects as CU Anschutz transitioned/moved from 9th Avenue and Colorado Boulevard.

Desired Effective Date: August 24, 2020
Last Official Review: July 15, 2004
Reviewing Office: Office of Advancement
Responsible Officer: Vice Chancellor of Advancement, CU Anschutz
Policy Contact: Andre Vite (CU Anschutz Campus Architect)

Applies to: CU Anschutz Medical Campus

Reason for Policy: The move of the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus from 9th Avenue & Colorado Blvd to the Fitzsimons campus necessitates a review of and plan for the named spaces and other objects or places of honor and recognition. To facilitate this process an ad-hoc committee has researched policies of other institutions, cataloged named spaces in a database, researched obligations and gift agreements associated with gifts, and developed guidelines for transition. These guidelines will apply to all named spaces, places, plaques, and objects of CU Anschutz at 9th Avenue and Colorado Boulevard. In addition, this process is being coordinated with the University of Colorado Hospital and if a named space has ties to CU Anschutz, the plan for that space will be a joint decision. Lastly, this process resulted in recommendations for policy development.

I. REASON FOR PROPOSED ACTION AND SUMMARY OF CHANGES

This policy was only needed and intended to be in force to facilitate the transition of CU Anschutz to its current location on the CU Anschutz Medical campus. It was a guidelines document, not a policy document, and we have no record of level of approval.
II. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IN THE POLICY REVIEW
Vice Chancellor for Advancement (S. Arthur, 7-23-21)
CU Campus Architect (A. Vite, 7-21-20)
Benefactor Relations ((N. Rodriguez, 8-12-20)
Policy Coordination Manager (M. Heredia, ongoing involvement)

III. LEGAL REVIEW

A. Do you think legal review would be required for these proposed changes?

   No

   If no, please explain. No legal review needed on rescinding this policy.

IV. FISCAL REVIEW Are there any financial (human resources, technology, operations, training, etc.) or other resource impacts of implementing this policy (e.g., cost savings, start-up costs, additional time for faculty or staff, new systems, or software)? No