EURēCA! GRANT PROJECT REVIEW RUBRIC

Project Proposals: Scored out of 50	5	4	3	2	1
1) Development Goals: *What are professional & personal goals? How will this experience contribute to these goals?*	5: Exceptional detail, insight & clarity	4: Strong detail, insight & clarity	3: Some detail, insight & clarity	2: Little detail, insight or clarity	1: Key details missing, answer off target
2) Objective: *Describe goal(s) of project. What research question/hypothesis, problem statement, or concept will you investigate?*	5: Exceptionally clear objective, provides clear detail, & description of goals	4: Strong objective, provides detail, & description of goals	3: Objective stated, some details, some goals	2: Unclear objective, few details, goals not clear	1: Key details missing, answer off target
3) Significance: *How does project relate to current scholarly literature in your field? Describe how project will build on current knowledge & include in-text citations.*	5: Exceptional connection to scholarly literature, clearly builds on current knowledge	4: Strong connection to scholarly literature, somewhat builds on current knowledge	3: Some connection to literature, vaguely builds on current knowledge	2: Unclear connection to literature, does not build on current knowledge	1: Key details missing, answer off target
4) Method of Inquiry: *Explain discipline-based methods or creative techniques used. Use language that allows non-experts to understand approach.*	5: Exceptional description of methods	4: Strong description of methods	3: Some description of methods	2: Unclear description of methods	1: Key details missing, answer off target
5) Analysis & Interpretation: *Discuss analysis of data gathered or reflect on outcomes of project.*	5: Exceptional description of analysis & interpretation	4: Strong description of analysis & interpretation	3: Some description of analysis & interpretation	2: Unclear description of analysis & interpretation	1: Key details missing, answer off target
6) Project Timeline: *Provide timeline with approximate dates of project milestones. Include anticipated conference attendance or presentations if relevant.*	5: Exceptionally clear and detailed timeline	4: Strong timeline, sufficient details	3: Some detail in timeline	2: Unclear timeline, lacking detail	1: Key details missing, answer off target
7) Budget Detail: *Specify spending of requested funds - If supplies, include price estimate & source; If stipend, include number of hours estimated for project.*	5: Exceptionally clear & detailed budget	4: Strong budget, sufficient details	3: Some detail in budget	2: Unclear budget, lacking detail	1: Key details missing, answer off target

8) **Mentor Support:** *Letter could include assessment of proposed research, creative, or scholarly activity; description of how activity contributes to student(s)'s professional development; assessment of student(s)'s academic preparation; clarification of role as mentor*	5: Exceptionally clear letter of support	4: Strong letter of support	3: Some detail in letter of support	2: Lacking detail in letter of support	1: Letter missing or not positive letter
9) **Academic Preparation:** *Transcripts, mentor letter, gleaned from other answers*	5: Exceptionally prepared	4: Strongly prepared	3: Prepared	2: Lacking preparation	1: Not prepared
10) **Overall Proposal Rank**	5: Exceptional proposal, fund with no concerns	4: Strong proposal, fund	3: Good proposal, fund	2: Fund with concerns	1: Recommend do not fund